IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL No. 529 of 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 23/08/2004 in WPMP No. 19299/2004 in WP No. 14296 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

1. Qursheed Begum, W/o Late Riyasath Ali,
2. Md. Ghouse S/o Late Riyasat Ali,
3. Shakira Begum. D/o Late Riyasat Ali,
4. Sajida Begum. D/o Late Riyasat Ali,
5. Shahida Begum, D/o Late Riyasat Ali,
6. Saira Begum, D/o Late Riyasat Ali,

All are R/o H.No. 17-1-182/81/2, Santoshnagar Colony, Hyderabad. Rep. by their GPA Holder Md. Abdul Aleem S/o
Md. Abdul Mahmood, Age 43 years,, Occ: Business, R/o H.No. 17-1-182/81/2, Santhoshnagar Colony, Hyderabad.

..... APPELLANTS

AND

1. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer (East), Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
4. Nafeequnnisa Begum, W/o SA. Gaffar,
R/o H.No. 9-10-68/A/579, Reshambagh, Golconda, Hyderabad.
5. Rafeequnnisa Begum, W/o Md. Shareef,
R/o H.No. 10-2-187, Bazarghat, Nampally, Hyderabad.
6. Rajunnisa Begum, W/o Late Jahed Ali,
R/o H.No. 340, Reshambagh, Golconda, Hyderabad.
7. T. Suryakala, D/o Brahmananda Rao, Major,

R/o Ravalkol Village, Medchal.
8. T. Saroja, W/o Venkatachary,Major,
R/o Ravalkol Village, Medchal.
9. Sri Laurel Educational Society, rep. by Sri R. Kumaraswamy S/o Mallaiah, aged Major,
R/o Ravalkol Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
10. S. Kumara Swamy, S/o Mallaiah, Major,
R/o Ravalkol Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
11. T. Sukhanya, D/o Brahmananda Rao, Major,
R/o Ravalkol Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
12 J. Kedari, S/o Yetirajan, Major,
R/o Ravalkol Village, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellants: Mr. PRABHAKAR PERI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for Repondent Nos. 4 to 12: ------

The Court made the following :

ORAL JUDGMENT:

(per Honourable Sri Devinder Gupta, the Chief Justice)

Heard.

The Appeal is against the interim order declining to pass further orders of suspension in the Writ Petition filed by the appellants on the ground that there was already an order of suspension passed of the impugned order in some other writ petition.

May be that there is an order of suspension of the impugned order passed in another writ petitioner, but, that would not deprive the appellants of similar treatment in their case, inasmuch as in the event of the withdrawal of the said writ petition, the suspension granted in the said case would automatically come to an end and the

petitioners might be put to unnecessary inconvenience. Learned Single Judge ought to have ordered suspension in this case as well.

Therefore, the Writ Appeal is allowed, impugned order is set aside and W.P.M.P. No.19299 of 2004 in W.P.No.14926 of 2004 is allowed directing that the order impugned in the writ petition shall remain suspended during the pendency of the writ petition. No costs.

_____

DEVINDER GUPTA, C.J.

24.3.2005.

_____

B.SESHASAYANA REDDY, J.

VR.

To

1. The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer (East), Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Medchal Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
4. Two CCs to Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildigns, Hyderabad (OUT).

3. 2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WA {**AVLR**}*